

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/749,821	12/31/2003	Robert E. Burgmeier	S63.2-11032-US01	9361
490 VIDAS ARRI	7590 06/04/200 ETT & STEINKRAUS,	EXAM	EXAMINER	
SUITE 400, 6640 SHADY OAK ROAD EDEN PRAIRIE, MN 55344			HUSON, MONICA ANNE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		1791		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/04/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)					
10/749,821	BURGMEIER ET AL.					
Examiner	Art Unit					
Monica A. Huson	1791					

	Monica A. Huson	1/91	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 15 May 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
 X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar c, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth i ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f		FIRST REPLY WAS FIL	.ED WITHIN TW
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMELINE OF Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the property of the property of	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t They raise new issues that would require further cor They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below 	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);	
 (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beti appeal; and/or 	ter form for appeal by materially rec	lucing or simplifying th	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 		mpliant Amendment (I	OL-324).
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	t canceling the
7. A For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an ex	planation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 6.7.9-11.13.14.20-26.29 and 30. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	l and/or appellant fails e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after er	try is below or attache	ed.
The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/Monica A Huson/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1791	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: although applicant contends that the prior art does not show or suggest the claimed invention, the examiner maintains the rejections.

Applicant contends that Wang and Forte do not suggest the instant invention because they are concerned with coextruding layered tubes and therefore applicant alleges that they deal only with passing polymer material through different openings. (Not "an" opening as is claimed.) This is not persuasive because, primarily it is not clear that Wang or Forte do, in fact, use different openings, but overall, even coextruded tubes are known to pass through "an" opening at the downstream-most end of the die, which opening determines the exterior profile of the coextruded article. Therefore, it is maintained that Wang and Forte properly show and/or suggest the instant invention.